## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT BECKLEY

JOSE EFRAIN LINARES-TABORA,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:21-cv-00350

WARDEN, FCI BECKLEY,

Respondent.

## **ORDER**

Pending is Petitioner Jose Efrain Linares-Tabora's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1], filed June 21, 2021. This action was previously referred to the Honorable Dwane L. Tinsley, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission of proposed findings and a recommendation ("PF&R"). Magistrate Judge Tinsley filed his PF&R on May 30, 2024. Magistrate Judge Tinsley recommended that the Court deny Mr. Linares-Tabora's § 2241 Petition [Doc. 1], and dismiss this civil action from the docket of the court.

The Court need not review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations *to which objection is made.*" (emphasis added)). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Petitioner's right to appeal the Court's order. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also United States v. De Leon-Ramirez*, 925 F.3d 177, 181 (4th Cir. 2019) (Parties may not typically "appeal a magistrate judge's findings that were not objected to below, as § 636(b) doesn't require de novo review absent

objection."); *Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989). Further, the Court need not conduct de novo review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that do not direct the Court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." *Orpiano v. Johnson*, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). Objections in this case were due on June 17, 2024. No objections were filed.

Case 5:21-cv-00350

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the PF&R [Doc. 11], DENIES Mr. Linares-Tabora's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1], and DISMISSES the matter.

ENTER: June 25, 2024

